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## Legend
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Cautiously Optimistic


Priority Area

## STAR 360 Early Literacy \& Reading <br> Fall to Winter Comparison

STAR Early Literacy, Grades K-2
Central Park Attendane Zone


STAR Early Literacy, Grades K-2
Mont Pleasant Attendane Zone


STAR Early Literacy, Grades K-2
Oneida Attendane Zone


## Early Literacy Growth Comparison to Academic Peers*



## Definition:

Relative Risk of Urgent Intervention Grades K-2

The risk of a subgroup scoring within the Urgent Intervention Category
compared to
The risk of all other students
scoring within the Urgent Intervention

| Subgroup | Risk Winter |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hispanic | 1.26 |
| Asian | 0.55 |
| Black | 1.23 |
| White | 0.78 |
| 2 or more | 1.15 |

## Category

STAR Reading, Grades 3-5
Central Park Attendance Zone


STAR Reading, Grades 3-5
Mont Pleasant Attendance Zone


STAR Reading, Grades 3-5
Oneida Attendance Zone


## Reading Grades 3-5 Growth Comparison to Academic Peers*




## Definition:

Relative Risk of Urgent Intervention Grades 3-5

The risk of a subgroup scoring within the Urgent Intervention Category
compared to
The risk of all other students
scoring within the Urgent Intervention

| Subgroup | Risk Winter |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hispanic | 1.22 |
| Asian | 0.65 |
| Black | 1.27 |
| White | 0.85 |
| 2 or more | 0.90 |

Category

STAR Reading, Grades 6-8 \& 9-12


## Reading Grades


*Academic peers are students in the same grade with a similar scaled score on a STAR assessment from the beginning period to the current time period examined. A Student Growth Percentile, or SGP, compares a student's growth to that of his or her academic peers nationwide.

## Definition:

Relative Risk of Urgent Intervention Grades 6-8 \& 9-12

The risk of a subgroup scoring within the Urgent Intervention Category
compared to
The risk of all other students
scoring within the Urgent Intervention

| Subgroup | Risk <br> $6-8$ | Risk <br> $9-12$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hispanic | 1.08 | 1.10 |
| Asian | 0.81 | 0.82 |
| Black | 1.35 | 1.35 |
| White | 0.81 | 0.74 |
| 2 or more | 0.69 | 1.02 |

Category

## TYU STEINHARDT

# ELA Interims Grades 2-8 

Fall to Winter Comparison



ELA Interims, Grades 2-8


ELA Interims, Grades 2-8
Oneida Attendance Zone


ELA Interims, Grades 2-5


ELA Interims, Grades 6-8


Plan-Do-StudyAct (PDSA)
Continuous Improvement Cycles

## Schenectady High School

## Mont Pleasant Middle School

Early Literacy, Reading \& ELA in Action
Hamilton Elementary School Pleasant Valley Elementary School Van Corlaer Elementary School


# Quarter 2 Report Card Achievement 

Quarter 1 to Quarter 2 Comparison

Number of Students (7-12) with Report Cards <65
$\mathrm{O}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ Comparison

CPMS MPMS
onms

| 1 course |  |  |  | 2 courses |  |  |  | 3 or > courses |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ | IEs $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | $\underline{E s O_{2}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ | IEs O1 | Ess ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | O1 | $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ | Es $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | $\underline{\text { IEs } \mathrm{O}_{2}}$ |
| 73 | 83 | 29 | 44 | 28 | 49 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 54 (68) | 6 | 2 |
| 97 | 85 | 86 | 110 | 51 | 68 | 9 | 27 | 72 | 95 (124) | 1 | 7 |
| 52 | 86 | 34 | 49 | 36 | 36 | 7 | 16 | 38 | 48 (98) | 1 | 10 |
| 465 | 439 | 391 | 399 | 262 | 272 | 177 | 149 | 563 | 595 (786) | 150 | 98 |
| 40 | 37 | 36 | 41 | 35 | 38 | 20 | 34 | 108 | 111 (158) | 26 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Change (+/-) in Number of Students <65
3 or More Courses on Report Cards
Q2 2017-18 vs 2018-19


## Definition:

Relative Risk of Course Failures Grades 7-12

The risk of a subgroup failing 3 or more courses compared to
The risk of all other students failing 3 or

| Subgroup | Risk O1 | Risk O2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hispanic | 1.04 | 1.08 |
| Asian | 0.50 | 0.61 |
| Black | 1.61 | 1.39 |
| White | 0.88 | 0.93 |
| 2 or more | 0.96 | 0.81 | more courses

Number of Students (K-6) Below Achievement Level on Report Cards O1 to O2 Comparison

|  | 1 course |  | 2 courses |  | 3 or > courses |  | School | Enrollment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | O1 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{O}} 1$ | $\underline{\mathrm{O} 2}$ | O1 | $\underline{\mathrm{O}} 2$ | HAM | 453 |
| HAM | 76 | 59 | 70 | 43 | 85 | 78 | HOWE | 401 |
| HOWE | 41 | 40 | 23 | 23 | 62 | 50 | LINC | 349 |
| KEAN | 30 | 34 | 17 | 13 | 42 | 30 | MLK | 522 |
| LINC | 78 | 83 | 56 | 42 | 77 | 55 | PAIG | 498 |
| MLK | 56 | 50 | 36 | 43 | 66 | 61 | PLVY | 443 |
| PAIG | 67 | 61 | 42 | 40 | 70 | 65 | VAN | 414 |
| PLVY | 88 | 57 | 25 | 32 | 59 | 48 | YATE | 395 |
| VAN | 49 | 29 | 25 | 28 | 43 | 22 | ZOL | 455 |
| WDLN | 46 | 46 | 23 | 27 | 56 | 46 | CPMS (6) | 245 |
| YATE | 68 | 66 | 56 | 35 | 81 | 107 | MPMS (6) | 237 |
| zOL | 36 | 20 | 19 | 12 | 33 | 18 | ONMS (6) | 241 |
| CPMS (6) | 40 | 39 | 14 | 15 | 19 | 19 |  |  |
| MPMS (6) | 34 | 33 | 14 | 12 | 17 | 12 |  |  |
| ONMS (6) | 40 | 46 | 19 | 23 | 19 | 44 |  |  |



## Definition:

Relative Risk of Being Below Achievement Grades K-6

| The risk of a | Subgroup | Risk O1 | Risk O2 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| subgroup failing 3 | Hispanic | 1.36 | 1.31 |
| or more courses <br> compared to | Asian | 0.37 | 0.36 |
| The risk of all other | White | 1.28 | 1.34 |
| Students failing 3 or <br> more courses | 2or more | 1.85 | 1.00 |

## MYU STEINHARDT

# Quarter 2 Student Behavior 

Quarter 1 to Quarter 2 Comparison
\% of Students (Unique) and \# of Incidents, K-5
Q1 vs. Q2


Change ( $+/-$ ) in Number of Students Involved in an Incident, K-5
Q2 2017-18 vs. 2018-19
20

\% of Students (Unique) and \# of Incidents, 6-12
Q1 vs. Q2


Change (+/-) in Number of Students Involved in an Incident, 6-12
Q2 2017-18 vs. 2018-19
50


Number of Students Suspensions (K-5)
O1 to Q2 Comparison


Change (+/-) in Number of Students Suspended, K-5
Q2 2017-18 vs 2018-19


Number of Students Suspensions (6-12)
O1 to Q2 Comparison


Change (+/-) in Number of Students Suspended, 6-12 Q2 2017-18 vs 2018-19


## Definition:



The risk of a subgroup being suspended compared to
The risk of all other students being suspended

| Subgroup | Risk Q1 | Risk Q2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hispanic | 1.02 | 0.87 |
| Asian | 0.21 | 0.25 |
| Black | 2.40 | 2.47 |
| White | 0.72 | 0.76 |
| 2 or more | 0.69 | 0.80 |

## NYU|STEINHARDT

# Quarter 2 Student Attendance 

Quarter 1 to Quarter 2 Comparison

Perfect Attendance, K-5 Q1 vs. Q2


Perfect Attendance, 6-12
Q1 vs. Q2


1-5 Days


11-19 Days



■Q1 ■Q2

20+ Days


- Q 1 -Q2

Change (+/-) In Number of Students with Perfect Attendance, Grades K-5
Q2 2017-18 vs. 2018-19


Number of Student Absences, 6-12
$\mathrm{O}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Comparison

1-5 Days


■Q1 ■Q2

11-19 Days


■Q1 ■Q2


- Q1 ■Q2

20+ Days


■Q1 ■Q2

Change (+/-) In Number of Students with Perfect Attendance, Grades 6-12 Q2 2017-18 vs. 2018-19


Increasing
Student Attendance Action Plan
Mont Pleasant Middle School Schenectady High School

# Quarter 2 Teacher Attendance 

Quarter 1 to Quarter 2 Comparison


Change (+/-) In Number of Teachers with less than 2 Absences, by School
Q2 2017-18 vs. 2018-18


## Questions?



